

Mr John Coles Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd The Estate Office Old Bury Hill Westcott Nr Dorking Surrey, RH4 3JU

> 5th January 2024 Our Ref: TOHA/24/1197/3/SS Your Ref: See below

Dear Sirs

Topsoil Analysis Report: Bury Hill Horsham Yard – GP10 Lightweight Topsoil (S)

We have completed the analysis of the soil sample recently submitted, referenced *GP10 Lightweight Topsoil* (*S*) and have pleasure reporting our findings.

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the material for use as an intensive lightweight substrate in a rooftop or podium garden environment.

This report presents the results of analysis for the sample submitted to our office, and it should be considered 'indicative' of the topsoil source. The report and results should therefore not be used by third parties as a means of verification or validation testing, waste designation purposes or for any project-specific application, especially after the topsoil has left the Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd site.

SAMPLE EXAMINATION

The sample was described as a dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour, 10YR 4/2), very moist, non-plastic, very slightly calcareous LOAMY SAND with a single grain structure. The sample was very slightly stony and contained a high proportion of lightweight expanded clay aggregate 'leca'. No unusual odours, deleterious materials, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

Tim O'Hare Associates LLP Howbery Park Wallingford Oxfordshire OX10 8BA T:01491 822653 E:info@toha.co.uk www.toha.co.uk



Plate 1: GP10 Lightweight Topsoil (S) Sample

ANALYTICAL SCHEDULE

The sample was submitted to a UKAS and MCERTS accredited laboratory for a range of physical and chemical tests to confirm the composition and fertility of the soil, and the concentration of selected potential contaminants. The following parameters were determined:

- detailed particle size analysis (5 sands, silt, clay);
- stone content (2-20mm, 20-50mm, >50mm);
- bulk density (as received, field capacity);
- moisture content (as received, field capacity);
- saturated hydraulic conductivity;
- pH and electrical conductivity values;
- calcium carbonate;
- exchangeable sodium percentage;
- major plant nutrients (N, P, K, Mg);
- organic matter content;
- visible contaminants;
- heavy metals (Sb, As, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, V, Zn);
- total cyanide and total (mono) phenols;
- speciated PAHs (US EPA16 suite);
- aromatic and aliphatic TPH (C5-C35 banding);
- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX);
- asbestos screen.

The results are presented on the attached Certificate of Analysis and an interpretation of the results is given below.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Particle Size Analysis and Stone Content

The sample fell into the *sand* texture class with a predominance of *medium sand* (0.25-0.50mm) and smaller proportions of *coarse sand* (0.50-1.0mm). Such soils typically have good aeration and drainage properties, but can possess poor water and nutrient retention capacities. As a consequence, they often have a greater risk of excessive leaching and drought particularly during dry periods. This topsoil would be considered as 'fast draining' and so will only be suited to plants or planting environments which prefer or require such conditions. Consideration for automatic irrigation should also be made to mitigate these risks.

With the exception of 'leca' particles, the sample had a very low contents of 'stone' sized material (>2mm).

Bulk Density and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity

The sample displayed a bulk density at Field Capacity of 1.3 Mg/m³, which is reasonably low compared to that of standard topsoil. The suitability of the bulk density result should be confirmed by the project engineer for the recipient site.

The saturated hydraulic conductivity of the sample (100 mm/hour) and would be described as 'free-draining' indicating that the substrate is sufficiently permeable and should demonstrate adequate drainage performance for use in rooftop or podium garden environments. Soils used in these environments need to have satisfactory drainage performance to avoid stagnation (and therefore excess weight) and to enable efficient conveyance of water into the drainage system.

pH and Electrical Conductivity Values

The sample was strongly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.5). This pH value would be considered suitable for general landscape purposes provided species with a wide pH tolerance or those known to prefer alkaline soils are selected for planting, turfing and seeding.

The electrical conductivity values were moderate, which indicates that soluble salts should not be present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

Organic Matter and Fertility Status

The sample was adequately supplied with organic matter and all major plant nutrients.

The C:N ratio of the sample was acceptable for general landscape purposes.

Potential Contaminants

In the absence of site-specific assessment criteria, the concentrations that affect human health have been compared with the *residential with home grown produce* land use in the Suitable For Use Levels (S4ULs) presented in *The LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment* (2015) and the DEFRA SP1010: *Development of Category 4 Screening Levels* (C4SLs) *for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination – Policy Companion Document* (2014).

Of the remaining potential contaminants determined, none exceeded their respective guideline values.

Phytotoxic Contaminants

Of the phytotoxic (toxic to plants) contaminants determined (copper, nickel, zinc), none was found at levels that exceeded the maximum permissible levels.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the material for use as an intensive lightweight substrate in a roof garden or podium landscape environment.

From the soil examination and subsequent laboratory analysis, the sample was described as a strongly alkaline, non-saline, very slightly calcareous sand with a single grain structure and high proportion of 'leca' particles. The sample was adequately supplied with organic matter and all major plant nutrients. Of the potential contaminants determined, none exceeded their respective guideline values.

Based on our findings, the substrate represented by this sample would be suitable for use as a lightweight intensive substrate for landscaping purposes in a roof garden or podium environment provided a free-draining topsoil is required and the risk of drought conditions are acceptable. Consideration must also be made for automatic irrigation. Plant species selected should be tolerant of alkaline soil conditions and the soil must be adequately structured.

The substrate should be underlain by a suitably graded subsoil product to interface with underlying structures and / or drainage infrastructure, as appropriate. The suitability of the bulk density and the drainage properties should be confirmed by the project engineer.

Soil Handling Recommendations

It is important to maintain the physical condition of the soil and avoid structural damage during all phases of soil handling (e.g. stockpiling, respreading, cultivating, planting, seeding or turfing). As a consequence, soil handling operations should be carried out when soil is reasonably dry and non-plastic (friable) in consistency.

It is important to ensure that the soil is not unnecessarily compacted by trampling or trafficking by site machinery. If the soil is compacted at any stage during the course of soiling or landscaping works, it should be cultivated appropriately to relieve the compaction prior to any planting, turfing or seeding.

We hope this report meets with your approval and provides the necessary information. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can be of further assistance.

Yours faithfully

Harriet MacRae BSc MSc Graduate Soil Scientist

Ming

Matthew Heins BSc (Hons) MISoilSci Senior Soil Scientist

For & on behalf of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP



Client: Bury Hill Landscape Supplies Ltd Project: Bury Hill Horsham Yard			
Job: Topsoil Analysis			
Date: 05/01/2024 Job Ref No: TOHA/24/1197/3/SS			
			OB40 Link weight
Sample Reference			GP10 Lightweight Topsoil (S)
	0/	Accreditation	
Clay (<0.002mm) Silt (0.002-0.05mm)	%	UKAS UKAS	3
Very Fine Sand (0.05-0.15mm) Fine Sand (0.15-0.25mm)	%	UKAS UKAS	3 6
Medium Sand (0.25-0.50mm)	%	UKAS	48
Coarse Sand (0.50-1.0mm) Very Coarse Sand (1.0-2.0mm)	%	UKAS UKAS	<u>30</u> 8
Total Sand (0.05-2.0mm)	%	UKAS	95
Texture Class (UK Classification) Stones (2-20mm)	 % DW	UKAS GLP	<u> </u>
Stones (20-50mm) Stones (>50mm)	% DW % DW	GLP GLP	0
Bulk Density (As Received) Bulk Density (Field Capacity)	Mg/m3 Mg/m3	UKAS UKAS	<u>1.24</u> 1.31
Moisture Content (As Received)	%	UKAS	27
Moisture Content (Field Capacity) Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (m)	% mm/hr	UKAS A2LA	36 100
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS	8.5
Calcium Carbonate	%	UKAS	1.4
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract) Electrical Conductivity (1:2 CaSO ₄ extract)	uS/cm uS/cm	UKAS UKAS	<u> </u>
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	%	UKAS	4.3
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS	4.5
Total Nitrogen (Dumas) C : N Ratio	%	UKAS	0.19
Extractable Phosphorus	ratio mg/l	UKAS UKAS	14 66
Extractable Potassium Extractable Magnesium	mg/l mg/l	UKAS UKAS	922
Visible Contaminants: Plastics >2.00mm Visible Contaminants: Sharps >2.00mm	%	UKAS UKAS	0
Total Antimony (Sb) Total Arsenic (As)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 1.0 7
Total Barium (Ba) Total Beryllium (Be)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	<u>27</u> 0.21
Total Cadmium (Cd)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.2
Total Chromium (Cr) Hexavalent Chromium (Cr VI)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	9.5 < 1.8
Total Copper (Cu)	mg/kg	MCERTS	11
Total Lead (Pb) Total Mercury (Hg)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	9.9 < 0.3
Total Nickel (Ni)	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	9 < 1.0
Total Selenium (Se) Total Vanadium (V)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Total Zinc (Zn) Water Soluble Boron (B)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	30 2
Total Cyanide (CN)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Total (mono) Phenols	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Naphthalene	mg/kg	MCERTS	0.14
Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05
Phenanthrene Anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05
Fluoranthene Pyrene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05
Benzo(a)pvrene Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pvrene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05
Dibenzo(a.h)anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05
Benzo(g.h.i)pervlene Total PAHs (sum USEPA16)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Aliphatic TPH (C5-C6)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.020
Aliphatic TPH (C6-C8)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.020
Aliphatic TPH (C8-C10) Aliphatic TPH (C10-C12)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.050 < 1.0
Aliphatic TPH (C12-C16)	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 2.0 < 8.0
Aliphatic TPH (C16-C21) Aliphatic TPH (C21-C35)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 8.0
Aliphatic TPH (C5-C35) Aromatic TPH (C5-C7)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 10 < 0.010
Aromatic TPH (C7-C8)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.010
Aromatic TPH (C8-C10) Aromatic TPH (C10-C12)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.050 < 1.0
Aromatic TPH (C12-C16)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 2.0
Aromatic TPH (C16-C21) Aromatic TPH (C21-C35)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 10 < 10
Aromatic TPH (C5-C35)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10
Benzene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.005
Toluene Ethylbenzene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.005 < 0.005
p & m-xylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.005
o-xylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.005
Asbestos	ND/D	ISO17025	Not-detected

S = SAND

Visual Examination
The sample was described as a dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour, 10YR 4/2), very moist, non-plastic, very slightly calcareous SAND with a single grain
structure. The sample was stone free and contained a proportion of lightweight expanded clay aggregate 'leca'. No unusual odours, deleterious materials,
roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with.

The contents of this certificate shall not be reproduced without the express written permission of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP

H.MacRae

Harriet MacRae BSc MSc Graduate Soil Scientist